

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/719,002	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DRAPER ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Cynthia Collins	<b>Art Unit</b> 1638

**All Participants:**

(1) Cynthia Collins.

**Status of Application:** allowable with examiner's amendment

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Lawrence Bugaisky.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 August 2004

**Time:** 1:00 pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

22, 25-28, 39

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*agreed to examiner's amendment to cancel claims 25-28, to amend claim 22 to recite "plant" cell, and to amend claim 39 to remove parentheses; authorization for charging an extension of time was given.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)